



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,761 11/28/2001		Hiroaki Sugiura	1190-0532P	1204
2292	7590 10/03/2005	EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74	EWART KOLASCH	EBRAHIMI DEHKORDY, SAEID		
	, JRCH, VA 22040-074	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	
		DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/994,76	1	SUGIURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
				himi-dehKordy	2626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	Idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a)□			_· action is no	n-final				
3)			secution as to the	e merits is				
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	.*						
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•			
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election re	auirement		•		
			CICCHOII IC	qui omone.				
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the			,				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•						
	Applicant may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Inno(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/994,761

Art Unit: 2626

Double Patenting

1. Claim 1-22 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-46 of copending Application No. 09,627,058. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. Claims 1-22 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type duble patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of the pending parent application 09,627,058. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 is the obvious variation of claim 1 of application 09,627,058, such as "tag data representing parameters of said first color space, automatically converting said original image data into said second color space, converting said converted image data into a visuallyperceptible analog thereof. Claim 5 is the obvious variation of claim 11 of application 09,627,058 such as "displaying original image data was generated relative to a first color space by an output device that converts image data of a second color space to a visually-perceptible analog thereof, monitoring the presence of the tag data, presuming, if no tag is received over communication channel. Claim 15 is the obvious variation of claim 32 of application 09,627,058. Such as "having an output device that converts image data of a second color space to visuallyperceptible analog of said image data, to display original image data that was generated relative to a first color space, an output device that converts image data of a second color space to a visually-perceptible analog thereof, said output device being operable to convert said original

Application/Control Number: 09/994,761

Art Unit: 2626

image data relative to said second color space based upon the presumption that said first color space is said default color space to produce converted image data, output device being operable to convert said converted image data into a visually-perceptible analog thereof.

Contact Information

➤ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy* whose telephone number is (571) 272-7462.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams, can be reached at (571) 272-7471.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for *formal* communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 306-5406 (for *informal* or *draft* communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Knox building on 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy

Application/Control Number: 09/994,761

Art Unit: 2626

Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2626 September 22, 2005/

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES